

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/715,877	11/17/2003	Wen-Jong Lin	51540/DBP/C982	5259
23363 CHRISTIE, PA	7590 07/17/2007 ARKER & HALE, LLP		EXAMINER	
PO BOX 7068	•		ELEY, TIMOTHY V	
PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Î				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·	10/715,877	LIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Timothy V. Eley	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum s - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a munication. tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y will, by statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>02 May 2007</u> .					
	2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-51 are subject to restrict	are withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers		•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948) Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Number: 10/715,877

Art Unit: 3724

## DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Upon further consideration, the previous restriction requirement given in the office action filed April 10, 2007 has been vacated in favor of the following restriction, since claim 41 should only be examined with species I of group I(claims 1-23). Also, it should be noted that applicant did not elect one of the species of Group I for examination in the election filed May 2, 2007. The examiner regrets any inconveniences placed upon applicant.
- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-23, and 42-51, drawn to a method for determining shape data of a workpiece, classified in class 356, subclass 601.
  - II. Claims 24-40, drawn to apparatus for determining shape data for a workpiece, classified in class 451, subclass 8.
  - III. Claim 41, drawn to a computer program product, classified in class 702, subclass 1. This group will be examined with species I of group I(as indicated below) or group II.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 3. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either:
- (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially

different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as one which uses the first input to receive shape data of a complete workpiece.

- 4. If applicant elects group I, one of the following species of invention should be elected for examination.
  - 'a. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species
  - Species I: the species recited in claims 1-23, to a method for determining shape data.
  - Species II: the species recited in claims 42 and 43, to a method for determining the head and tail portions of a complex curve.
  - Species III: the species recited in claims 44-46, to a method of determining a neutral line within a body.
  - Species IV: the species recited in claims 47-51, to a method of determining points along a neutral line within a body.

    The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be

Application/Control Number: 10/715,877

Art Unit: 3724

restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear to be generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election,

applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);

(d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;

(e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35.U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable

over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy V. Eley whose telephone number is 571-272-4506. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer D. Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/715,877

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-

9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer

Service Representative or access to the automated information system,

call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Timothy V. Eley/ Timothy V Eley Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724 Page 8

tve